

MONITORING REGIMES & TECHNOLOGIES FOR ALL-WARHEAD AGREEMENTS

Alex Glaser

Program on Science and Global Security, Princeton University Einstein Center Digital Future, Berlin

The National Academy of Sciences, October 23, 2020



THREE TYPES OF MONITORING REGIMES

MONITORING REGIMES

FOR ALL-WARHEAD AGREEMENTS



<u>1. Absence regime</u>

- Conduct routine & challenge inspections to confirm correctness of declarations
- Accept all items as treaty accountable that the host declared as such
- Only inspect other items present at site to confirm that they are indeed <u>not</u> accountable



2. Limited-access regime

- Conduct routine & challenge inspections to confirm correctness of declarations
- Use serial numbers or unique identifiers to track declared items
- Authenticity of the items themselves is not confirmed



3. Confirmation regime

• Warhead confirmation measurements confirm authenticity of declared nuclear weapons prior to dismantlement (using an attribute or template-matching approach), perhaps also during "life cycle" of (randomly selected) weapons

Source: Author (top and middle), U.S. DOE (bottom)



ABSENCE REGIME



FEATURES OF AN ABSENCE REGIME



BASIC APPROACH

- Conduct routine & challenge inspections to confirm correctness of declarations
- Accept all items as treaty accountable that the host declared as such
- Only inspect other items present at site to confirm that they are indeed <u>not</u> accountable



RELEVANT VERIFICATION TECHNOLOGIES

- Simple radiation (neutron and/or gamma) detection equipment (only as needed)
- No information barriers required

For more, see: Radiation Detection Equipment: An Arms Control Verification Tool, DTRA, 2011



ADVANTAGES & SHORTCOMINGS

- Host can overdeclare inventory (as no items are being identified or tracked)
- Inspector gains no/few insights into operational aspects of the weapons complex

Source: Author (top), <u>www.idealvac.com</u> (middle), and <u>quad-nvp.info</u> (bottom)



Absence Measurements





ABSENCE MEASUREMENTS

USING LOW-RESOLUTION GAMMA SPECTROMETRY



Inspection includes two separate steps to determine (1) amount of shielding in container and (2) emissions from container U-235 is difficult to detect, focus on U-238 (1.001 MeV) instead

Certain sensitive objects (e.g. "trainers" with depleted uranium) may also have to be declared as treaty accountable



ABSENCE MEASUREMENTS

USING LOW-RESOLUTION GAMMA SPECTROMETRY

0.3 kg U-238 in 4 kg of HEU @ 950-1150 keV

0.9 kg Pu-239 in 1 kg of Pu @ 300-500 keV



Values are for a 2" x 2" sodium-iodide detector and a point-source of special nuclear material (self-shielding treated separately) E. Lepowsky, J. Jeon, and A. Glaser, Confirming the Absence of Nuclear Warheads Via Passive Gamma-Ray Measurements, under review



LIMITED-ACCESS REGIME



FEATURES OF A LIMITED-ACCESS REGIME



BASIC APPROACH

- Conduct routine & challenge inspections to confirm correctness of declarations
- Use serial numbers or UIDs to identify (and "track") declared items
- Authenticity of the warheads themselves is <u>not</u> confirmed (but "provenance" is)



RELEVANT VERIFICATION TECHNOLOGIES

• Same as for absence regime, plus chain-of-custody technologies, including tags (UIDs) and seals, possibly also containment & surveillance concepts *On remote monitoring, see: A. Glaser and Z. Mian, Science, 361 (6406), September 7, 2018*



ADVANTAGES & SHORTCOMINGS

- Avoids radiation measurements on treaty accountable items, but requires limited access to items for inspection and readout of unique identifiers
- Provides some insights into how declared items move through the weapons complex

Source: Author (top), Sandia National Laboratories (middle), <u>quad-nvp.info</u> (bottom)







Verification Technology: Unclassified Version, JASON, 1990 Sandia National Laboratories, 1991 Princeton University and Sandia National Laboratories, 2015–2020



TAGGING OPTIONS





THE ORIGINAL BUDDY TAG CONCEPT (FOR MOBILE MISSILES)



Sabina E. Jordan, Buddy Tag's Motion Sensing and Analysis Subsystem, Sandia National Laboratory, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 1991 Jim Fuller, "US START TID Development Program: The Quest for Extreme Security Unique Identifiers (1986–1992)," April 2006



2020 BUDDY TAG PROTOTYPE



A. Glaser and M. Kütt, "Verifying Deep Reductions in the Nuclear Arsenals: Development and Demonstration of a Motion-detection Subsystem for a 'Buddy Tag' Using Non-export Controlled Accelerometers," IEEE Sensors Journal, June 2020 <u>ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=9025267</u>



CONFIRMATION REGIME



FEATURES OF A CONFIRMATION REGIME



BASIC APPROACH

 Warhead confirmation measurements confirm authenticity of declared nuclear weapons prior to dismantlement (using an attribute or template-matching approach) and perhaps also during the "life cycle" of (randomly selected) weapons



RELEVANT VERIFICATION TECHNOLOGIES

• Same as absence regime and limited-access regime (tags and seals), but now also major role for radiation detection equipment (active/passive, neutron/gamma, imaging) *For more, see: Yan Jie and A. Glaser, Science & Global Security, 23 (3), 2015*



ADVANTAGES & SHORTCOMINGS

- Provides highest confidence in correctness of declared inventory and reductions
- Highly intrusive; direct access to nuclear weapons raises security concerns
- Authentication & certification of information barriers extremely difficult

Source: U.S. DOE/DOD (top), U.S. DOE (middle), Paul Shambroom (bottom)



Zero-knowledge Verification



ZERO-KNOWLEDGE VERIFICATION

WITH NON-ELECTRONIC, PRE-LOADABLE (BUBBLE) DETECTORS



A. Glaser, B. Barak, and R. Goldston, A Zero-knowledge Protocol for Nuclear Warhead Verification, Nature, 510, June 2014 S. Philippe, R. J. Goldston, A. Glaser, F. d'Errico, Nature Communications, 7, September 2016 M. Hepler, Zero-knowledge Isotopic Discrimination for Nuclear Warhead Verification, PhD Thesis, Princeton University, May 2020



ZERO-KNOWLEDGE VERIFICATION

RADIOGRAPHY WITH 14 MeV NEUTRONS



Small deviations from N_{MAX} $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ Significant deviations from N_{MAX} (2.0, 2.5, 3.0 sigma)

The revised system can detect substitution of 500 g of uranium-235 limited by counting statistics in bubble detectors (48 detectors, ~350 bubbles per detector)

A. Glaser, B. Barak, and R. Goldston, A Zero-knowledge Protocol for Nuclear Warhead Verification, Nature, 510, June 2014



SUMMARY



MONITORING REGIMES & TECHNOLOGIES FOR ALL-WARHEAD AGREEMENTS



FROM ABSENCE TO CONFIRMATION REGIMES, STEP-BY-STEP

- Several types of approaches are available to verify all-warhead agreements; they range from "simple" (absence) regimes to more rigorous but more intrusive confirmation regimes
- The different regimes can build on each other and be phased in "gradually"



MEANWHILE ...

- Warhead dismantlements continue to be unverified, and almost 90% of all nuclear weapons do no longer exist today
- Weapon states ought to begin now to document dismantlements in ways that international inspectors will find credible at a later time

Source: www.idealvac.com (top) and U.S. DOE (bottom)



